

Dave Heineman
Governor

NEBRASKA COMMISSION ON LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE

(Nebraska Crime Commission)

Michael E. Behm, Executive Director

301 Centennial Mall South

P.O. Box 94946

Lincoln, Nebraska 68509-4946

Phone (402) 471-2194

FAX (402) 471-2837

Nebraska Coalition for Juvenile Justice Meeting September 9, 2011

The Nebraska Coalition for Juvenile Justice Committee met Friday, September 9, 2011 at 10:00 a.m. at the Cornhusker Super 8 Motel, located at 2545 Cornhusker Highway, Lincoln, Nebraska. Notice of this meeting was published August 19, 2011 in the Lincoln Journal Star.

*Please note that due to a malfunction of the recording equipment, there is no audio recording of this meeting on file.

I. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 10:00 a.m. by Mark Benne, Chairman.

II. OPEN MEETINGS LAW ADVISEMENT

Benne reminded the group that we are in compliance with the Open Meeting Act.

III. ROLL CALL

Members Present: Mark Benne, Cassandra Blakely, Jennie Cole-Mossman, Kay Glidden (arrived at 10:10), Brady Brewster, Janteice Holston (arrived at 11:15), Kara Brostrom, Ron Johns, Vanessa Sherman, Alex Moreno, Corey Steel, Kevin Stukenholtz Elaine Menzel, Terri Nutzman, Gerard Piccolo, Vernon Daniels (arrived at 10:25), Nicole Goaley (arrived at 10:25), Thomas McBride, Reggie Ryder, Chris Rodgers, Symone Sanders, Scott Swisher, Derek Vaughn, and Roger Wess **Members Excused:** Mike Behm, Jamal Jackson, Mike Long, Kent Turnbull, Todd Reckling, Christina Rice, Linda Benjamin, Dan Scarborough **Staff Present:** Lisa Stamm, Chris Harris, Cindy Gans, and Shawn Roberts

IV. INTRODUCTIONS

Benne introduced Chris Harris and Cindy Gans, new staff for the Nebraska Crime Commission. Chris Harris, Juvenile Compliance/DMC Coordinator, started August 8, 2011 and is a Saint Louis University graduate who has considerable experience as an insurance underwriter. Cindy Gans started on August 31, 2011 as the Juvenile Justice Specialist. Cindy received her BA from the University of Nebraska – Lincoln and has continued her education with graduate courses at the University of Nebraska – Omaha. Cindy has gained professional experience at the University of Nebraska, Nebraska State Patrol and Nebraska Domestic Violence Sexual Assault Coalition training various

disciplines, engaging in multiple grant processes and coordinating extensive research professionally and academically.

Benne presented guests Anne Hobbs, Hank Robinson and Monica Miles-Steffens on behalf of the Juvenile Justice Institute.

V. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Motion

A motion was made by Johns and seconded by Vaughn to approve the June 3, 2011 minutes. Motion carried unanimously by acclamation.

VI. APPROVAL OF WORKING LUNCH FOR NCJJ MEMBERS AND GUESTS

Motion

A motion was made by Rodgers and seconded by Vaughn to approve funds for a working lunch. Voting in favor of motion: Brewster, Brostrom, Cole-Mossman, Johns, McBride, Menzel, Moreno, Piccolo, Rodgers, Sherman, Swisher, Stukenholtz, Vaughn, and Wess. Nutzman and Ryder Abstained. (Motion carried.)

VII. APPROVAL OF SAG FUNDS

Motion

A motion was made by Brostrom and seconded by Wess to approve the use of up to \$2000 in SAG funds for the CJJ Youth Retreat in New Mexico. Voting in favor of motion: Brostrom, Cole-Mossman, Johns, McBride, Menzel, Moreno, Piccolo, Rodgers, Sherman, Stukenholtz, Vaughn, and Wess. Blakely, Brewster, Nutzman, Ryder, Sanders, Steel, and Swisher abstained. (Motion carried.)

VIII. PRESENTATION: Juvenile Diversion Training Series

Anne Hobbs and Monica Miles-Steffens from the Juvenile Justice Institute presented on the upcoming Juvenile Justice Training Series on Juvenile Diversion Programs. The dates of training are September 20 and 21, 2011. There will be six training modules over the course of nine months including: identifying assessment tools, successful grant writing, enhancing intrinsic motivation, communicating your message, measuring processes-program evaluation and developing a comprehensive diversion program.

Please see Attachment 1

VIX. FORMATION OF COUNTY PLANNING ADVISORY GROUP

Hank Robinson presented the proposed formation of a County Planning Advisory Group to enhance relationships between county and state entities in the development of county plans.

Motion

A motion was made by Rodgers and seconded by Vaughn to approve the formation of a County Planning Advisory Committee. Voting in favor of motion: Blakely, Brewster, Brostrom, Cole-Mossman, Daniels, Goaley, Johns, McBride, Menzel, Moreno, Nutzman, Piccolo, Reckling, Rodgers, Ryder, Sanders, Sherman, Steel, Swisher Stuckenholtz, Vaughn, and Wess. (Motion carried.)

X. PRESENTATION: County Aid Formula Options

Hank Robinson of the Juvenile Justice Institute presented the two county aid formula options for the allocation of County Aid grant funds.

Motion

A motion was made by Rodgers and seconded by Sanders to approve use of the County Aid funding based on a County's percentage of Nebraska's total population with a minimum amount of \$2500 for the smallest counties. Voting in favor of motion: Blakely, Brewster, Brostrom, Cole-Mossman, Goaley, Johns, McBride, Menzel, Nutzman, Rodgers, Ryder, Sanders, Steel, Swisher Stuckenholtz, Vaughn, and Wess. Daniels, Moreno, Piccolo, and Sherman abstained. (Motion carried.)

Please see Attachment 2

XI. WORKING LUNCH

XII. DISCUSSION OF 3 YEAR STATE PLAN

Stamm indicated that there were no available Juvenile Justice administration funds to cover facilitation costs in assisting with the development of the 3 Year Plan. Stamm noted that under federal guidelines, the SAG can cover the costs to pay for a facilitator to assist with the 3 Year Juvenile Justice Statewide Plan.

Motion

A motion was made by Piccolo and seconded by Steel to approve the use of SAG Funds in the use of a Contractor to facilitate the Juvenile Justice Statewide Plan.

Daniels noted that since this was a new item of discussion not previously on the agenda that the motion should be tabled until the next meeting.

Motion

A motion was moved by Daniels and seconded by Vaughn to table the motion of using SAG Funds in the use of a Contractor indefinitely. Voting in favor of motion: Blakely, Brewster, Cole-Mossman, Daniels, Moreno, Piccolo, Sherman, Goaley, Johns, McBride, Menzel, Nutzman, Rodgers, Ryder, Sanders, Steel, Swisher Stuckenholtz, Vaughn, and Wess. (Motion carried.)

Monica Miles-Steffens presented information on the 3 Year State Plan that will be developed by the Juvenile Justice Specialist in the spring of 2012. Discussion ensued about forming a subcommittee to assist in the process. Monica Miles-Steffens discussed potential priority categories to be addressed in the plan.

Motion

A motion was made by Piccolo and seconded by Steel to form a 3 Year State Plan Subcommittee to assist in the Plan development. Voting in favor of motion: Blakely, Brewster, Brostrom, Cole-Mossman, Daniels, Goaley, Johns, McBride, Menzel, Moreno, Nutzman, Piccolo, Rodgers, Ryder, Sanders, Sherman, Steel, Swisher, Stuckenholtz, Vaughn, and Wess (Motion carried.)

Please see Attachment 3

XIII. CRIME COMMISSION JUVENILE JUSTICE AND COMPLIANCE MONITOR REPORT

Stamm reported on updates from the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention. Due to these changes, revised Compliance Monitoring Reports were submitted in June, 2011. Stamm also reported that on-site monitors were done in Scotts Bluff and Cherry Counties with the assistance of Wills and Dan Evans, Jail Standards. Two sessions of Grant Management Training were held in June and another is planned for later in the summer. The requirements for attending training have been updated to better meet the needs of project personnel and subgrantees.

Please see Attachment 4

XIV. SUB-COMMITTEE REPORTS

- A. Executive Committee Reports: Benne indicated that the Executive Committee held a conference call on August 12, 2011.
- B. DMC: Anne Hobbs presented on the work the JJI is doing to update data in the OJJDP database with more comprehensive information than is currently available. Liz Neeley presented information on the upcoming National Consortium on Racial and Ethnic Fairness in the Courts and that it would be worthwhile to attend if possible. Anne Hobbs also reported information on the research being done by the Juvenile Justice Institute on the efforts to reduce disproportionate minority confinement.

- C. Grant Review: Piccolo indicated that he met with Gans and Stamm to go over the Juvenile Justice Grant Review process.
- D. Youth committee: Blakely reported that one Youth Member, Symone Sanders, was able to attend the conference in NM. Sanders reported that she attended the National Youth Committee Retreat in Albuquerque, NM August 28-29th. During the retreat Sanders helped revise the committee's strategic plan and set-up various subcommittees such as Communications and Outreach. The National Youth Committee is really focusing on connecting with the Youth Members of various SAGs (State Advisory Groups) across America. Youth Members are revamping their current listserv and launching new avenues of communication such as a Google Groups and their very own Facebook Page.

XV. NEW BUSINESS

No new business at this time.

XVI. PUBLIC COMMENT

No public comment at this time.

XVII. MEETING DATES FOR 2011

Benne reported the next meeting will be December 2, 2011 to be held at the Juvenile Assessment Center in Omaha.

XVIII. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business the meeting adjourned at 1:20 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,



Shawn Roberts
Staff Assistant

Attachment

1



Lodging Information:

Kearney Holiday Inn Hotel & Convention Center
110 Second Ave.
Kearney, NE 68845

For Reservations:

Call (308) 237-5971

A block of rooms have been reserved under the group name "Juvenile Justice Institute Diversion Training" at a rate of \$77/night.


*Cost to attend the training event are the responsibility of the participants.

Through a grant reimbursement for mileage will be provided to qualifying programs. For more information about mileage incentive contact Monica Miles-Steffens.

The Nebraska Crime Commission
and
UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
Juvenile Justice Training Series

The Nebraska Crime
Commission
and
UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
Juvenile Justice Training Series

September 20 & 21, 2011
Kearney, NE



This project was supported by Grant
10-JA-0601 awarded by the Nebraska Crime Commission.

The Nebraska Crime Commission
and
UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

Present the Inaugural
Juvenile Justice Training Series: 2011/2012
Topic: Juvenile Diversion

Connecting Juvenile Diversion
and
Evidence Based Practice

September 20: Kearney Holiday Inn

8:30-9:00AM: Registration

9:00-9:15AM: Welcome:
*Anne Hobbs, Ph.D., Director Juvenile Justice
Institute-UNO*

9:15-10:30AM: Plenary Session: "What Works,
Evidence Based, Promising Approaches: What does
all this mean?"

*Deb Minardi-Deputy Administrator, NE State
Probation*

This session provides a foundation for understanding
evidence based practice and principles.

10:30-10:45AM: Break

10:45-12PM: Plenary Session: "Assessing Diversion
Clients: How much is enough?"

*Deb Minardi-Deputy Administrator, NE State
Probation*

This session presents the difference between
screening and assessment, how much is enough
information on a client, and what to do with the
information received.

12:00-1:15PM: Lunch-Legislative Update-Sealing
Juvenile Records:
*Stacey Conroy, Legal Counsel to Judiciary
Committee*

1:15-2:45PM: Targeting Interventions: Truancy in
Nebraska-Emerging Strategies and Policy: Panel
Presentation

*Hall County Attorney's Office
Lancaster County Truancy Diversion Court
Scamlers County Juvenile Diversion program
Statute changes: Sen. Ashford's office*

This session provides an update on recent statute
changes regarding truancy and a discussion from
programs around the state working to address truancy
in their communities.

2:45-3:00PM: Break

3:00-4:30PM: Targeting Interventions: Addressing
Substance Use through Testing: Panel Presentation
*Doug Kramer, Buffalo County Juvenile Diversion
Coordinator*

*Sue Gugel, Day Reporting Center Coordinator
Nebraska State Probation*

This panel describes when drug and alcohol testing
is appropriate in diversion models, what methods are
most effective and how it fits in with evidence based
principles.

4:30-5:00PM: Connecting the Dots through Evidence
Based Practice

September 21: Calvin T. Ryan Library, University of
Nebraska at Kearney

9:00AM-12:00PM: Diversion Case Management
System

- Process for sealing records
- Correspondence using mail merge
- Reporting

JJI & UNO School of Interdisciplinary Informatics
from Peter Kevnit Institute

Registration Information

(Please print & complete one registration form per person)

Name _____

Title _____

Agency _____

Address _____

Phone _____

Fax _____

Email _____

Registration Deadline: September 12

Send registrations to Dessa Champoux at
dchampoux@unomaha.edu
or fax to 402-472-6758 or mail to
Juvenile Justice Institute at:

310 Nebraska Hall
901 N. 17th Street
Lincoln, NE 68588-0561

For questions about registration contact:
Dessa Champoux at 402-472-6756

For question about the content of the program
contact: Monica Miles-Steffens at 402-440-0373
or monica@milesaheadconsult.com

2011/2012 Nebraska Juvenile Justice Training Series

Special Topic: Juvenile Diversion

Series Dates and Session Descriptions

Welcome to the Juvenile Diversion Training Series. The following modules are designed around the concepts of Evidence Based Practice and Principles introduced at the September training conference. Participants will get more in depth training on specific topics and have the opportunity to apply their knowledge through take home assignments. At the end of the training series participants will have comprehensive strategies for implementing Evidence Based Practices within their programs. The following are basic descriptions of the modules and proposed take home assignments. To ensure that the modules meet program needs, participants will have the opportunity to provide input into topic areas, so minor changes to the module descriptions and assignments may occur.

MODULE 1: 6 hours

October 18th, 2011-Omaha: Building on the Principles: Identifying the Right Assessment Tools for Your Program, Speakers TBA

In September we introduced the concept of evidence based assessments in relation to criminogenic risk and needs of clients. This session will delve deeper into the types of screening and assessment tools as they apply to juvenile diversion programming. We will discuss some of the common tools available (YLS, MAYSI II, DPS, NE Youth Screen and 40 Developmental Assets), their strengths and weaknesses, and how to incorporate the right tools and processes into your program.

Optional Take Home Assignment: Participant will utilize a provided template to develop a plan for incorporating screening and/or assessment(s) their juvenile diversion program. This plan will incorporate activities such as training required, what resources needed, implementation and ongoing processes, utilization and on-going quality assurance, etc.

MODULE 2: 4 hours

November, 2011-Lincoln: Organizational Development: Keys to Successful Grant Writing, Speaker: Monica Miles-Steffens, Consultant for Juvenile Justice Institute

Researching, writing and managing grants can often be an overwhelming task. This session will provide participants helpful resources to identify grants that fit the needs of their programs. The training will cover useful tips for completing essential components of standard grant applications and key strategies for managing grants successfully.

Optional Take Home Assignment: Participant will research and find a potential grant solicitation. They will review the requirements and draft a problem statement and supporting problem description including data generated from the JDCMS and submit for feedback.

MODULE 3: 6 Hours

January, 2012-Grand Island: Building on the Principles: Enhancing Intrinsic Motivation of Diversion Clients, Nicki Kennedy, Consortium for Crime and Justice Research-UNO

Each youth coming through diversion has a different level of motivation to succeed. This session will provide a basic overview of the stages of change people go through when facing the need to change as well as simple strategies that will assist in working with clients at the different stages of change.

Optional Take Home Assignment: To be determined with trainer.

MODULE 4: 4 Hours

March, 2012-Lincoln: Organizational Development: Communicating your message, Speaker TBA

Do the right people know about your program? How do you create interest and value in your program with key stakeholders in your community and state? What data is critical to send this message? This session will provide strategies to assist you in working with county boards, funders and even state senators as you try to establish long term sustainability for your program.

Optional Take Home Assignment: Participants will develop their "elevator" speech, create one resource they can use for promotion of their program, and/or schedule one speaking engagement to an entity they have never approached before.

MODULE 5: 4 Hours

May, 2012: Building on the Principles: Measuring Relevant Processes/Practices, Speaker: Anne Hobbs, Ph.D., Director Juvenile Justice Institute-UNO

Evaluation of programs is often the last thing that agencies implement, yet it is one of the most critical elements to a successful program. This session will explain different types of evaluation strategies, outline essential evaluation components, and identify what types of data is necessary to collect.

Optional Take Home Assignment: Explore one aspect of diversion programming that they would like to evaluate. Identify the purpose or goal of the evaluation, the research questions and the strategies they will employ.

MODULE 6: 6 Hours

June, 2012: Collaboration: Developing a comprehensive diversion program Speaker TBA

Youth and their families come to diversion with an array strengths and needs. Many times one class or one program cannot meet all of the needs youth and families face, therefore, it is critical to collaborate with other community resources to provide the best possible program for each youth.

Attachment

2

COUNTY AID FORMULA BASED ON 2010 CENSUS

43-2404.02. County Juvenile Services Aid Program; created; use; reports.

(2) The annual General Fund appropriation to the County Juvenile Services Aid Program shall be apportioned to the counties as aid in accordance with a formula established in rules and regulations adopted and promulgated by the commission. *The formula shall be based on the total number of residents per county who are twelve years of age through eighteen years of age and other relevant factors as determined by the commission.*

2010 CENSUS – 12 TO 18 YEAR-OLDS PER COUNTY

Based on the 2010 Census of 12 to 18 year-olds per county in Nebraska compared to the 2000 Census:

- 86 out of 93 counties lost 12 to 18 year-olds, 1 county (Keya Paha) stayed the same, and 6 out of 93 counties gained youth in that age bracket (Webster, Dakota, Hall, Sarpy, Lancaster, and Douglas Counties).
- The largest 3 counties—Douglas, Lancaster, and Sarpy—account for over 51% of the state's population of 12 to 18 year-olds.
- 27 counties have less than 300 12 to 18 year-old youth.

PRINCIPLES IN DETERMINING THE 2010 COUNTY AID FORMULA

Funding is based on a formula that:

1. Is fair and equitable.
2. Distributes funds in a way that will promote improved capacity throughout the state.
3. Provides incentives for counties to apply for County Aid.
4. Encourages lower-populated counties to collaborate.

2 OPTIONS FOR THE 2010 COUNTY AID FORMULA

Option A – Straight Formula (Calculated Amounts Attached)

Keep the formula the same as it was in 2010; the formula is adopted based solely on number of youth per county with no other considering factors.

Option B – Minimum Amount for Every County (Calculated Amounts Attached)

The formula is adopted that considers a minimum dollar amount going to counties at and below a certain youth population. In what is presented here, every county would receive at least a \$2,500 allotment.

COUNTY AID ENHANCEMENT FUNDS

To incentivize collaboration between counties of lower populations, the Commission could prioritize a portion of County Aid Enhancement funds for counties applying for County Aid *regionally*. For example, if four or more counties collaborate and submit a joint County Aid Enhancement application, priority for Enhancement dollars will be given to counties that have four or more counties collaborating and submitting a joint application. The pot of money designated for such could be set at \$50,000.

Compiled by:

T. Hank Robinson, Ph.d. & Julie Rogers, J.D.

Juvenile Justice Institute - Consortium for Crime & Justice Research

University of Nebraska

Allocation of County Aid Funding based on a County's percentage of
Nebraska's Total Population with Minimum Amount for Smallest Counties

Area	2000 Age 12-18 Population	2010 Age 12-18 Population	% of Total 12-18 Population in NE	2000 Allotment	2010 Straight Formula with Min. Amt	Difference	% Change
Arthur	52	44	0.03	\$419	\$2,500	2081	496.7%
McPherson	70	48	0.03	\$564	\$2,500	1936	343.3%
Blaine	73	54	0.03	\$588	\$2,500	1912	325.2%
Grant	126	54	0.03	\$1,016	\$2,500	1484	146.1%
Thomas	90	56	0.03	\$725	\$2,500	1775	244.8%
Loup	92	59	0.03	\$742	\$2,500	1758	236.9%
Banner	115	68	0.04	\$927	\$2,500	1573	169.7%
Logan	107	69	0.04	\$863	\$2,500	1637	189.7%
Hooker	97	71	0.04	\$782	\$2,500	1718	219.7%
Keya Paha	84	84	0.05	\$677	\$2,500	1823	269.3%
Wheeler	107	97	0.06	\$863	\$2,500	1637	189.7%
Rock	206	111	0.06	\$1,661	\$2,500	839	50.5%
Hayes	141	113	0.06	\$1,137	\$2,500	1363	119.9%
Sioux	162	114	0.07	\$1,306	\$2,500	1194	91.4%
Deuel	258	153	0.09	\$2,080	\$2,500	420	20.2%
Garden	250	170	0.10	\$2,015	\$2,500	485	24.1%
Gosper	235	183	0.10	\$1,894	\$2,500	606	32.0%
Dundy	252	199	0.11	\$2,031	\$2,500	469	23.1%
Boyd	308	200	0.11	\$2,483	\$2,500	17	0.7%
Garfield	214	207	0.12	\$1,725	\$2,500	775	44.9%
Greeley	321	234	0.13	\$2,588	\$2,500	-88	-3.4%
Hitchcock	364	234	0.13	\$2,934	\$2,500	-434	-14.8%
Pawnee	298	273	0.16	\$2,402	\$2,500	98	4.1%
Perkins	400	276	0.16	\$3,224	\$2,500	-724	-22.5%
Sherman	356	278	0.16	\$2,870	\$2,500	-370	-12.9%
Brown	412	289	0.17	\$3,321	\$2,500	-821	-24.7%
Frontier	405	294	0.17	\$3,265	\$2,500	-765	-23.4%
Franklin	396	308	0.18	\$3,192	\$2,567	-625	-19.6%
Harlan	406	329	0.19	\$3,273	\$2,742	-531	-16.2%
Kimball	460	343	0.20	\$3,708	\$2,859	-849	-22.9%
Chase	491	352	0.20	\$3,958	\$2,934	-1024	-25.9%
Nance	513	362	0.21	\$4,135	\$3,017	-1118	-27.0%
Nuckolls	602	363	0.21	\$4,835	\$3,025	-1810	-37.4%
Valley	499	380	0.22	\$4,022	\$3,167	-855	-21.3%
Johnson	494	396	0.23	\$3,982	\$3,301	-681	-17.1%
Webster	395	402	0.23	\$3,184	\$3,351	167	5.2%
Thayer	621	474	0.27	\$5,006	\$3,951	-1055	-21.1%
Morrill	701	477	0.27	\$5,651	\$3,976	-1675	-29.6%
Sheridan	729	502	0.29	\$5,877	\$4,184	-1693	-28.8%
Polk	631	511	0.29	\$5,087	\$4,259	-828	-16.3%
Furnas	567	519	0.30	\$4,571	\$4,326	-245	-5.4%
Cherry	712	545	0.31	\$5,739	\$4,542	-1197	-20.9%
Boone	810	590	0.34	\$6,529	\$4,917	-1612	-24.7%
Jefferson	860	610	0.35	\$6,933	\$5,084	-1849	-26.7%
Antelope	975	621	0.35	\$7,860	\$5,176	-2684	-34.1%
Burt	890	626	0.36	\$7,174	\$5,217	-1957	-27.3%
Dixon	782	643	0.37	\$6,304	\$5,359	-945	-15.0%
Howard	817	644	0.37	\$6,586	\$5,368	-1218	-18.5%
Kearney	803	651	0.37	\$6,473	\$5,426	-1047	-16.2%
Stanton	862	654	0.37	\$6,949	\$5,451	-1498	-21.6%
Fillmore	812	656	0.37	\$6,546	\$5,468	-1078	-16.5%
Clay	923	676	0.39	\$7,440	\$5,634	-1806	-24.3%

Area	2000 Age 12-18 Population	2010 Age 12-18 Population	% of Total 12-18 Population in NE	2000 Allotment	2010 Straight Formula with (Min. Amt)	Difference	% Change
Nemaha	847	698	0.40	\$6,828	\$5,818	-1010	-14.8%
Keith	1034	741	0.42	\$8,335	\$6,176	-2159	-25.9%
Richardson	1123	772	0.44	\$9,053	\$6,434	-2619	-28.9%
Pierce	1052	805	0.46	\$8,480	\$6,709	-1771	-20.9%
Merrick	958	823	0.47	\$7,723	\$6,859	-864	-11.2%
Thurston	1017	854	0.49	\$8,198	\$7,118	-1080	-13.2%
Knox	1075	871	0.50	\$8,666	\$7,259	-1407	-16.2%
Dawes	1020	878	0.50	\$8,222	\$7,318	-904	-11.0%
Phelps	1084	881	0.50	\$8,738	\$7,343	-1395	-16.0%
Butler	1096	888	0.51	\$8,835	\$7,401	-1434	-16.2%
Cheyenne	1141	911	0.52	\$9,198	\$7,593	-1605	-17.5%
Cuming	1216	924	0.53	\$9,802	\$7,701	-2101	-21.4%
Wayne	1117	925	0.53	\$9,004	\$7,710	-1294	-14.4%
Cedar	1259	967	0.55	\$10,149	\$8,060	-2089	-20.6%
Holt	1455	1,030	0.59	\$11,729	\$8,585	-3144	-26.8%
Hamilton	1166	1,045	0.60	\$9,309	\$8,710	-599	-6.4%
Colfax	1222	1,049	0.60	\$9,851	\$8,743	-1108	-11.2%
Custer	1332	1,056	0.60	\$10,737	\$8,801	-1936	-18.0%
Red Willow	1240	1,056	0.60	\$9,996	\$8,801	-1195	-12.0%
Box Butte	1505	1,113	0.64	\$12,132	\$9,276	-2856	-23.5%
York	1695	1,292	0.74	\$13,664	\$10,768	-2896	-21.2%
Saline	1544	1,466	0.84	\$12,446	\$12,219	-227	-1.8%
Otoe	1726	1,538	0.88	\$13,913	\$12,819	-1094	-7.9%
Seward	1940	1,713	0.98	\$15,639	\$14,277	-1362	-8.7%
Gage	2405	2,027	1.16	\$19,387	\$16,894	-2493	-12.9%
Saunders	2329	2,182	1.25	\$18,774	\$18,186	-588	-3.1%
Washington	2209	2,194	1.25	\$17,807	\$18,286	479	2.7%
Dakota	2332	2,382	1.36	\$18,799	\$19,853	1054	5.6%
Cass	2739	2,616	1.49	\$22,079	\$21,803	-276	-1.2%
Dawson	2684	2,646	1.51	\$21,636	\$22,053	417	1.9%
Adams	3156	3,001	1.71	\$25,441	\$25,012	-429	-1.7%
Platte	3889	3,340	1.91	\$31,350	\$27,838	-3512	-11.2%
Dodge	3831	3,417	1.95	\$30,882	\$28,479	-2403	-7.8%
Madison	4123	3,450	1.97	\$33,236	\$28,755	-4481	-13.5%
Lincoln	3814	3,455	1.97	\$30,745	\$28,796	-1949	-6.3%
Scotts Bluff	3994	3,495	2.00	\$32,196	\$29,130	-3066	-9.5%
Buffalo	4672	4,323	2.47	\$37,662	\$36,031	-1631	-4.3%
Hall	5559	5,839	3.34	\$44,812	\$48,666	3854	8.6%
Sarpy	14029	16,249	9.28	\$113,089	\$135,430	22341	19.8%
Lancaster	23655	24,217	13.84	\$190,686	\$201,840	11154	5.8%
Douglas	47518	49,210	28.12	\$383,048	\$410,148	27100	7.1%
Nebraska Totals	185148	175005	100	\$1,492,500			

Population Data Source: 2010 Census (SF 1, PCT12), U.S. Census Bureau
 Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on Tuesday July 12, 2011

Formula Calculations and Table by:
 T. Hank Robinson, Ph.d. and Julie Rogers, J.D.
 School of Criminology and Criminal Justice: CCJR



Allocation of County Aid Funding based on a County's percentage of Nebraska's Total Population

Area	2000 Age 12-18 Population	2010 Age 12-18 Population	% of Total 12-18 Population in NE	2000 Allotment	2010 Straight Formula	Difference	% Change
Arthur	52	44	0.03	\$419	\$375	-44	-10.4%
McPherson	70	48	0.03	\$564	\$409	-155	-27.4%
Blaine	73	54	0.03	\$588	\$461	-127	-21.7%
Grant	126	54	0.03	\$1,016	\$461	-555	-54.7%
Thomas	90	56	0.03	\$725	\$478	-247	-34.1%
Loup	92	59	0.03	\$742	\$503	-239	-32.2%
Banner	115	68	0.04	\$927	\$580	-347	-37.4%
Logan	107	69	0.04	\$863	\$588	-275	-31.8%
Hooker	97	71	0.04	\$782	\$606	-176	-22.6%
Keya Paha	84	84	0.05	\$677	\$716	39	5.8%
Wheeler	107	97	0.06	\$863	\$827	-36	-4.1%
Rock	206	111	0.06	\$1,661	\$947	-714	-43.0%
Hayes	141	113	0.06	\$1,137	\$964	-173	-15.2%
Sioux	162	114	0.07	\$1,306	\$972	-334	-25.6%
Deuel	258	153	0.09	\$2,080	\$1,305	-775	-37.3%
Garden	250	170	0.10	\$2,015	\$1,450	-565	-28.0%
Gosper	235	183	0.10	\$1,894	\$1,561	-333	-17.6%
Dundy	252	199	0.11	\$2,031	\$1,697	-334	-16.4%
Boyd	308	200	0.11	\$2,483	\$1,706	-777	-31.3%
Garfield	214	207	0.12	\$1,725	\$1,765	40	2.3%
Greeley	321	234	0.13	\$2,588	\$1,996	-592	-22.9%
Hitchcock	364	234	0.13	\$2,934	\$1,996	-938	-32.0%
Pawnee	298	273	0.16	\$2,402	\$2,328	-74	-3.1%
Perkins	400	276	0.16	\$3,224	\$2,354	-870	-27.0%
Sherman	356	278	0.16	\$2,870	\$2,371	-499	-17.4%
Brown	412	289	0.17	\$3,321	\$2,465	-856	-25.8%
Frontier	405	294	0.17	\$3,265	\$2,507	-758	-23.2%
Franklin	396	308	0.18	\$3,192	\$2,627	-565	-17.7%
Harlan	406	329	0.19	\$3,273	\$2,806	-467	-14.3%
Kimball	460	343	0.20	\$3,708	\$2,925	-783	-21.1%
Chase	491	352	0.20	\$3,958	\$3,002	-956	-24.2%
Nance	513	362	0.21	\$4,135	\$3,087	-1048	-25.3%
Nuckolls	602	363	0.21	\$4,835	\$3,096	-1739	-36.0%
Valley	499	380	0.22	\$4,022	\$3,241	-781	-19.4%
Johnson	494	396	0.23	\$3,982	\$3,377	-605	-15.2%
Webster	395	402	0.23	\$3,184	\$3,428	244	7.7%
Thayer	621	474	0.27	\$5,006	\$4,042	-964	-19.2%
Morrill	701	477	0.27	\$5,651	\$4,068	-1583	-28.0%
Sheridan	729	502	0.29	\$5,877	\$4,281	-1596	-27.2%
Polk	631	511	0.29	\$5,087	\$4,358	-729	-14.3%
Furnas	567	519	0.30	\$4,571	\$4,426	-145	-3.2%
Cherry	712	545	0.31	\$5,739	\$4,648	-1091	-19.0%
Boone	810	590	0.34	\$6,529	\$5,032	-1497	-22.9%
Jefferson	860	610	0.35	\$6,933	\$5,202	-1731	-25.0%
Antelope	975	621	0.35	\$7,860	\$5,296	-2564	-32.6%
Burt	890	626	0.36	\$7,174	\$5,339	-1835	-25.6%
Dixon	782	643	0.37	\$6,304	\$5,484	-820	-13.0%
Howard	817	644	0.37	\$6,586	\$5,492	-1094	-16.6%
Kearney	803	651	0.37	\$6,473	\$5,552	-921	-14.2%
Stanton	862	654	0.37	\$6,949	\$5,578	-1371	-19.7%
Fillmore	812	656	0.37	\$6,546	\$5,595	-951	-14.5%
Clay	923	676	0.39	\$7,440	\$5,765	-1675	-22.5%

Area	2000 Age 12-18 Population	2010 Age 12-18 Population	% of Total 12-18 Population in NE	2000 Allotment	2010 Straight Formula	Difference	% Change
Nemaha	847	698	0.40	\$6,828	\$5,953	-875	-12.8%
Keith	1034	741	0.42	\$8,335	\$6,319	-2016	-24.2%
Richardson	1123	772	0.44	\$9,053	\$6,584	-2469	-27.3%
Pierce	1052	805	0.46	\$8,480	\$6,865	-1615	-19.0%
Merrick	958	823	0.47	\$7,723	\$7,019	-704	-9.1%
Thurston	1017	854	0.49	\$8,198	\$7,283	-915	-11.2%
Knox	1075	871	0.50	\$8,666	\$7,428	-1238	-14.3%
Dawes	1020	878	0.50	\$8,222	\$7,488	-734	-8.9%
Phelps	1084	881	0.50	\$8,738	\$7,513	-1225	-14.0%
Butler	1096	888	0.51	\$8,835	\$7,573	-1262	-14.3%
Cheyenne	1141	911	0.52	\$9,198	\$7,769	-1429	-15.5%
Cuming	1216	924	0.53	\$9,802	\$7,880	-1922	-19.6%
Wayne	1117	925	0.53	\$9,004	\$7,889	-1115	-12.4%
Cedar	1259	967	0.55	\$10,149	\$8,247	-1902	-18.7%
Holt	1455	1,030	0.59	\$11,729	\$8,784	-2945	-25.1%
Hamilton	1166	1,045	0.60	\$9,309	\$8,912	-397	-4.3%
Colfax	1222	1,049	0.60	\$9,851	\$8,946	-905	-9.2%
Custer	1332	1,056	0.60	\$10,737	\$9,006	-1731	-16.1%
Red Willow	1240	1,056	0.60	\$9,996	\$9,006	-990	-9.9%
Box Butte	1505	1,113	0.64	\$12,132	\$9,492	-2640	-21.8%
York	1695	1,292	0.74	\$13,664	\$11,019	-2645	-19.4%
Saline	1544	1,466	0.84	\$12,446	\$12,503	57	0.5%
Otoe	1726	1,538	0.88	\$13,913	\$13,117	-796	-5.7%
Seward	1940	1,713	0.98	\$15,639	\$14,609	-1030	-6.6%
Gage	2405	2,027	1.16	\$19,387	\$17,287	-2100	-10.8%
Saunders	2329	2,182	1.25	\$18,774	\$18,609	-165	-0.9%
Washington	2209	2,194	1.25	\$17,807	\$18,711	904	5.1%
Dakota	2332	2,382	1.36	\$18,799	\$20,314	1515	8.1%
Cass	2739	2,616	1.49	\$22,079	\$22,310	231	1.0%
Dawson	2684	2,646	1.51	\$21,636	\$22,566	930	4.3%
Adams	3156	3,001	1.71	\$25,441	\$25,594	153	0.6%
Platte	3889	3,340	1.91	\$31,350	\$28,485	-2865	-9.1%
Dodge	3831	3,417	1.95	\$30,882	\$29,141	-1741	-5.6%
Madison	4123	3,450	1.97	\$33,236	\$29,423	-3813	-11.5%
Lincoln	3814	3,455	1.97	\$30,745	\$29,465	-1280	-4.2%
Scotts Bluff	3994	3,495	2.00	\$32,196	\$29,807	-2389	-7.4%
Buffalo	4672	4,323	2.47	\$37,662	\$36,868	-794	-2.1%
Hall	5559	5,839	3.34	\$44,812	\$49,797	4985	11.1%
Sarpy	14029	16,249	9.28	\$113,089	\$138,577	25488	22.5%
Lancaster	23655	24,217	13.84	\$190,666	\$206,531	15845	8.3%
Douglas	47518	49,210	28.12	\$383,048	\$419,679	36631	9.6%
Nebraska Totals	185148	175005	100	\$1,492,500			

Population Data Source: 2010 Census (SF 1, PCT12), U.S. Census Bureau
 Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on Tuesday July 12, 2011

Formula Calculations and Table by:
 T. Hank Robinson, Ph.d. and Julie Rogers, J.D.
 School of Criminology and Criminal Justice: CCJR



Attachment

3

TO: NEBRASKA JUVENILE JUSTICE COALITION

FROM: JULIE L. ROGERS, COMMUNITY PLANNING COORDINATOR, JUVENILE JUSTICE INSTITUTE

DATE: AUGUST 2011

RE: COMMUNITY PLANNING PRIORITIES TO INFORM STATEWIDE 3-YEAR PLAN

As part of the Statewide 3-Year Planning process as required by the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP), it is understood that you will begin the discussion to start identifying the Statewide Priorities for the Formula Grants Program at your September 9, 2011, Coalition meeting. To inform that discussion, the Community Planning Priorities from counties across the state that have Community Plans currently on file with the Crime Commission have been compiled.

Since the OJJDP deadline for submission of the Statewide Plan is the end of March, the following is a timeline, which corresponds to Nebraska Juvenile Justice Coalition (Coalition) meetings.

Timeline

September 2011 Coalition Meeting – Begin to Identify State’s Priorities

December 2011 Coalition Meeting – Finalize State’s Priorities & 3-Year Statewide Plan

March 2012 Coalition Meeting – Final Approval of 3-Year Statewide Plan

End of March 2012 – Application and 3-Year Plan Due to OJJDP

OJJDP Comprehensive 3-Year Plan Requirements

The following are the requirements for submission of the 3-Year Plan to OJJDP. This is based on requirements that were released in January 2011 for the March 2011 deadline—the most up-to-date information currently available. It is expected that the requirements will change little for this year. More specific details appear after each section, but this gives a good overview of what is required. Note that the Priorities section is highlighted.

1. System Description: Structure and Function of the Juvenile Justice System in Nebraska
2. Analysis of Juvenile Crime Problems & Juvenile Justice Needs
 - a. Analysis of Juvenile Crime Problems

- i. Juvenile arrests by offense type, gender, age, and race
- ii. Number and characteristics (by offense type, gender, race, and age) of juveniles referred to juvenile court, a probation agency, or special intake unit for allegedly committing a delinquent or status offense
- iii. Number of cases handled informally and formally by gender, race, and type of disposition
- iv. Number of delinquent and status offenders admitted, by gender and race, to juvenile detention facilities and adult jails and lockups (if applicable)
- v. Other social, economic, legal, and organizational conditions considered relevant to delinquency prevention programming

b. State Priorities - Juvenile Justice Needs/Problem Statements

3. Plan for Compliance With the First Three Core Requirements of the JJDP Act and the State's Compliance Monitoring Plan
 - a. Plan for Deinstitutionalization of Status Offenders
 - b. Plan for Separation of Juveniles from Adult Offenders
 - c. Plan for Removal of Juveniles from Adult Jails and Lockups
 - d. Plan for Compliance Monitoring for the First Three Core Requirements of the JJDP Act
4. Plan for Compliance with the Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) Core Requirement
5. Coordination of Child Abuse and Neglect and Delinquency Program
6. Collecting and Sharing Juvenile Justice Information
7. State of the Problem/Program Narrative
8. SAG Membership
9. Formula Grants Program Staff
10. Performance Measures Data

Community Planning Priorities from across Nebraska

To inform your discussion and selection of Statewide Priorities, all of the counties' Community Planning priorities were broken down into 15 different *general* categories. This does not represent all of the topics indicated in the priorities, but gives an idea of the priorities that were chosen by at least 2 or more counties. The priorities represent the 63 counties across the state that have a

Comprehensive Community Juvenile Services Plan. If you would like to read individual community plans, please go to:

http://www.ncc.state.ne.us/crime_commission/organization_and_functions/grants/juv_justice.html#County_Comprehensive_Juvenile_Services_Plans.

The following is the breakdown of Priorities and the number of counties choosing that priority area:

<u>Priority Category</u>	<u>Number of Counties</u>
Substance Abuse (Alcohol or Drug) Prevention & Education	34
Evaluation of Juvenile Systems Operations (staffing, programming, etc.)	26
Juvenile Diversion Programming	25
Substance Abuse Treatment, Health & Safety	19
Positive Youth Development & Supervision	18
Disproportionate Minority Contact	15
Parenting (education, involvement, support)	14
At-Risk, High Risk & Anti-Social Behaviors	14
Communication & Collaboration in the Community	14
Truancy	12
Support for Families & Healthy Relationships	10
Increased Programming & Activities for Youth	10
Accountability	6
Gang Activity & Violence	4
Electronic Monitoring	3

Attachment

4

Juvenile Justice Specialist and Compliance Report
For September 9th, 2011 NCJJ Meeting

- Christopher Harris was hired as the Juvenile Justice Compliance Specialist and his first day was August 8th, 2011.
- Cindy Gans was hired as the Juvenile Justice Specialist and her first day was August 31st, 2011.
- Wills presented on juvenile compliance monitoring at the annual conference sponsored by the Nebraska Jail Standards and the Nebraska Correctional Administrators and Managers Association on April 21st in Kearney. The session was well attended which included administrators from each of Nebraska's four juvenile detention facilities.
- In March, states received notification from the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP) that the federal Office of Justice Programs' Office of the General Counsel had given direction that juveniles accused of or adjudicated for minor in possession of alcohol (MIP) and who are securely detained in a juvenile detention center or adult facility are no longer to be considered a reportable violation of the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (JJDP) Act of 1974, as amended, core requirement for the Deinstitutionalization of Status Offenders (DSO). Currently, OJJDP is working with Congressional staff in hopes of amending the JJDP Act this year, to include MIP as a status offense. Until such time, OJJDP has instructed Compliance Monitors to track all juveniles who are detained for MIP offenses; however, it is not necessary, at this time, to report them as DSO violations. In addition, OJJDP required states to resubmit their 2009 and 2010 Compliance Monitoring Reports with all MIP violations removed. The revised data reports were submitted on June 16th.
- During this reporting period, Wills has monitored book-in records to determine facility compliance with the confinement of juveniles and continues to be available for technical assistance. Dan Evans, Jail Standards, has assisted in conducting on-site monitors. During June, on-site monitors were conducted in Cherry County and Scotts Bluff County.
- Grant Management Training for County Aid/County Aid Enhancement subgrantees was held on June 14th in Grand Island and June 16th in Lincoln. Make-up sessions will be offered later this summer.
- The Grants Division changed the requirements for Grant Management Training. The following are only required to attend:
 - New Project Personnel

- Personnel that have not attended Grant Management Training in the past three years
 - Those that want a refresher course
- Stamm participated in a conference call with the Justice Research and Statistics Association on June 21st.
- Stamm met with members from JDAI on June 30th to discuss reporting requirements.
- Stamm submitted the DCTAT for JABG for JABG on June 30th, 2011
- Lancaster County financial and activity monitors were conducted on July 27th, 2011.